

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,319	11/13/2001	Fritz Kirchhofer	14185	6937
25763	7590 08/28/2006		EXAMINER	
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			WITCZAK, CATHERINE	
SUITE 1500				PAPER NUMBER
50 SOUTH SIXTH STREET			3767	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1498			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/009,319	KIRCHHOFER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Catherine N. Witczak	3767			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuiting the second and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 M.	<u>ay 2006</u> .				
/ <sub>2</sub> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
• -	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 2-17 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 10-17 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b)  objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060721

Application/Control Number: 10/009,319 Page 2

Art Unit: 3767

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not contain any specific mention of the needle/cannula assembly being pre-assembled. Although the specification implies that both needle insertion and cannula insertion occur close together in time, there is nothing that implies that the two must be assembled prior to use.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 10, 11, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hattler (US 4,846,791). Hattler discloses a cannula/needle combination including the cannula (10) surrounding the



solid sharpened needle (30) in a snug fit with clearances formed between the cannula and needle

substantially along the needle (Figures 1, 2, and 3) to communicate fluid in the clearances.

Claims 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Finch et al (US 2.

6,120,492). Finch et al disclose in Figure 3a a pre-assembled needle/cannula combination including a

catheter (10) in fluid combination with the combination, a needle (130) comprising a solid piercing

member (132), and a cannula (120) surrounding the needle (130) in a snug fit, but still providing a

clearance extending substaintailly along the length of the needle between the inner wall of the cannula

and the outer wall of the needle to allow fluid to be communicated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hattler. Hattler discloses 3.

the claimed invention except for the cannula and catheter as separate elements. It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the single catheter/cannula

as disclosed in Hattler to a plurality of element because making a plurality of elements from a single

element is an obvious design choice an d Applicant has not stated that using two element solves a stated

problem or is for a particular purpose and it appears that the use of a single element accomplishes the

same function, in the same way, with the same result. Applicant has not established criticality in using

two elements in place of one.

W

Application/Control Number: 10/009,319

Art Unit: 3767

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/8/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Examiner points out that the definition of "needle" as provided by onelook.com is "a sharp pointed

implement." The divider of Hattler is a sharp, pointed instrument, and can therefor be considered a

needle. Furthermore, the "needle," of Hattler meets all the limitation of claim 1: it comprises a

substantially sharpened piercing end, it is surrounded by a cannula in a snug fit, and a clearance is

configured between the cannula and needle.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set

forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from

the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing

date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH

shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action

is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Catherine N. Witczak whose telephone number is (571) 272-7179. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin

Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

3

Application/Control Number: 10/009,319 Page 5

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairthrough Private PAIR only. direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

mm 3M166 cw

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER